IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 19252 of 2004

Between:

G.Sreemathi, W/o.P.Radha Krishna, Asst.Curator,
S.V.Museum, TTD's, Tirupathi, R/o.Tirupathi,

Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devastanams, Tirupathi,

Chittoor District, rep. by its Executive Officer.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent in not considering the petitioner for appointment on promotion as Curator in the existing vacancy in TTDs., and not fixing her seniority on par with her junior as arbitrary and illegal and consequently direct the Respondent to consider and appoint the petitioner on promotion as Curator forthwith and fix her seniority above her junior Sri B.Viswanadham, from the cadre of Asst. Curator, taking into consideration of her initial appointment made on

14-10-1980 and with all other consequential benefits, monetary and otherwise.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.JAGAPATHI

Counsel for the Respondent: MS. P.SARADA (S.C. for T.T.Ds.)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

Writ Petition No. 19252 of 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner is working as an Assistant Curator in the respondent-Devasthanams. The petitioner contends that she is eligible for promotion, but the respondent did not promote her while promoting her junior as a Curator. The petitioner made several representations to the respondent and the last being dated 01.10.2004.

2. The petitioner approached this Court through this writ petition, seeking a direction to the respondent to consider her case for promotion, since the respondent did not pass any orders on her representations, regarding her request.

3. In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the respondent is directed to consider the representations of the petitioner, regarding her request for promotion as a Curator and pass appropriate orders as early as possible, according to the existing rules.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU, J

Date: 14th October, 2004.

Cs

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Executive Officer, The Tirumala Tirupathi Devastanams,

Tirupathi, Chittoor District.

2. Two C.D. copies.